

Small Algorithms for Small Systems

James H. Davenport
University of Bath — visiting Symbolic Computation Group
`J.H.Davenport@bath.ac.uk`

June 13, 2009

Knuth is said to have described Computer Science as “that part of mathematics in which $\log \log n = 3$ ”. In this talk I will consider only some parts of Computer Algebra, and the even more special case when $\log n = 3$, or even less, and where compactness of the algorithm itself, as well as the data structures, is important.

g.c.d. This has been a bugbear of computer algebra for over forty years, and has given rise to many solutions, some of them truly heroic [CGG84, DP85]. Though difficult to *prove*, the subresultant algorithm [Col67] is quite short to *program*, and its intermediate expression swell does not manifest itself on small examples. It may well be worth considering the trial division variant of [Hea79].

Factoring (of univariate polynomials). This has been a challenge for almost as long as the g.c.d. problem, and is still far from being solved, as significant improvements keep on being made [vH02]. Nevertheless, if $\log n = 3$, we can devise a relatively simple algorithm on the following lines.

1. To factor mod p , use Cantor–Zassenhaus [CZ81].
2. Possibly use several primes — see question 1 below.
3. Having decided that there is a viable factorization, we have to lift it p -adically. Again, we note that while an optimal lifting is a very complicated body of code, linear lifting [DST93, p. 168], with imposed leading coefficients [DST93, pp. 174–5], is not.
4. Obviously, any p -adic factor which divides over the integers is a true factor. If this doesn’t happen, we have two choices.
 - (a) Do appropriate recombinations and trial divisions. The code is not lengthy, but the running may well be, since most optimisations ([ABD85] is possibly a counterexample) will substantially lengthen the code.
 - (b) Just give up, and declare “I couldn’t find any factors, but they may nonetheless exist”. In practice, this may well be acceptable on a compact system.

Factoring (of multivariate polynomials). It’s not clear to this author that this is worth implementing.

Integration Here the Risch–Norman [NM77] algorithm can be quite short to program, and, while not a full decision procedure, *is* complete on a reasonable range of transcendental integrands [Dav82]. There is a recent extension [Kau08], which looks promising on many cases of algebraic integrands. Here the aim would be to integrate *correctly* many common cases, while *not* guaranteeing that “I can’t” is equivalent to “no-one can”.

Open Research Questions

Question 1 *How many primes p_i should we factorize modulo in step 2 above before deciding that we have a compatible factorization, and should proceed to Hensel lifting.*

[Mus78] suggests that the answer is 5, though there are heuristic arguments that this should grow as $\log \log d$, where d is the degree of the polynomial to be factored. If d is small, can we get away with less?

References

- [ABD85] J.A. Abbott, R.J. Bradford, and J.H. Davenport. A Remark on Factorisation. *SIGSAM Bulletin* 2, 19:31–33, 1985.
- [CGG84] B.W. Char, K.O. Geddes, and G.H. Gonnet. GCDHEU: Heuristic Polynomial GCD Algorithm Based on Integer GCD Computation. In J.P. Fitch, editor, *Proceedings EUROSAM 84*, pages 285–296, 1984.
- [Col67] G.E. Collins. Subresultants and Reduced Polynomial Remainder Sequences. *J. ACM*, 14:128–142, 1967.
- [CZ81] D.G. Cantor and H. Zassenhaus. A New Algorithm for Factoring Polynomials over Finite Fields. *Math. Comp.*, 36:587–592, 1981.
- [Dav82] J.H. Davenport. On the Parallel Risch Algorithm (I). In *Proceedings EUROCAM ’82 [Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science 144]*, pages 144–157, 1982.
- [DP85] J.H. Davenport and J.A. Padget. HEUGCD: How Elementary Upper-bounds Generate Cheaper Data. In *Proceedings EUROCAL 85*, pages 18–28, 1985.
- [DST93] J.H. Davenport, Y. Siret, and E. Tournier. Computer Algebra (2nd ed.). *Academic Press*, 1993.
- [Hea79] A.C. Hearn. Non-Modular Computation of Polynomial Gcd Using Trial Division. In *Proceedings EUROSAM 79*, pages 227–239, 1979.

- [Kau08] M. Kauers. Integration of Algebraic Functions: A Simple Heuristic for Finding the Logarithmic Part. In *Proceedings ISSAC 2008*, pages 133–140, 2008.
- [Mus78] D.R. Musser. On the efficiency of a polynomial irreducibility test. *J. ACM*, 25:271–282, 1978.
- [NM77] A.C. Norman and P.M.A. Moore. Implementing the New Risch Integration Algorithm. In *Proceedings 4th. Int. Colloquium on Advanced Computing Methods in Theoretical Physics*, pages 99–110, 1977.
- [vH02] M. van Hoeij. Factoring polynomials and the knapsack problem. *J. Number Theory*, 95:167–189, 2002.